## Kimley»Horn

July 29, 2020
Commerce Construction Co., L.P. 20100 East $32{ }^{\text {nd }}$ Parkway
Suite 150
Aurora, CO 80011
Attn: Mr. Spencer Cleveland
Project Manager
Re: Traffic Study Letter
MCC Retail Phase 1
$32^{\text {nd }}$ Parkway Project Access Evaluation
Aurora, CO
Dear Mr. Cleveland:
The purpose of this letter is to provide a traffic compliance trip generation comparison for the first phase of the MCC Retail project to the overall Majestic Tower Retail project previously studied. In addition, this evaluation determines if a traffic signal will be needed for the proposed access intersection along $32^{\text {nd }}$ Parkway.

The Majestic Tower Retail project is proposed to be located on the southeast corner of the $32^{\text {nd }}$ Parkway and Tower Road intersection in Aurora, Colorado. A vicinity map illustrating the location is attached in Figure 1. Specifically, MCC Phase 1 is located directly along the east side of Tower Road within the western portion of the overall development area (site map attached).

The "Majestic Tower Retail Traffic Impact Study" that included this development area was completed in July 2018 by Kimley-Horn. The trip generation of the proposed MCC Retail Phase 1 project will be compared with the trip generation from the original traffic study. The original Majestic Tower Retail traffic impact study included development of two 125-room hotels ( 250 rooms total), 136,000 square feet of retail space ( 90,000 square feet on the north side of $32^{\text {nd }}$ Parkway), 23,000 square feet of restaurants (in three separate restaurants with one being an approximate 9,500 square foot Cracker Barrel), and a 12 -fueling position gas station. For the purposes of this study now as MCC Retail Phase 1, it is assumed that this project will include a 10,000 square foot retail building to include 7,500 square feet of retail space (Verizon, an Insurance Office, and a Boutique) and 2,500 square feet of fast casual dining (Chipotle), a 3,000 square foot additional fast casual dining (Wahoo's Fish Tacos), a 3,100 square foot fast food restaurant with drive through (Freddy's), a 2,500 square foot high turnover sit down restaurant (IHOP), and a 110-room extended stay hotel to be developed in the first phase of the project.

This traffic compliance letter identifies the amount of traffic associated with the proposed development of MCC Retail Phase 1 and the expected trip distribution and traffic assignment along with an operational analysis for the project access intersection along $32^{\text {nd }}$ Parkway. It is expected that project construction will be completed within the next couple of years; therefore, analysis was performed for the 2021 short term build out for Phase 1.

## Kimley»Horn

## Existing Roadway Network and Traffic Counts

Regional access to the MCC Retail Phase 1 project will be provided by Interstate 70 (I-70) and Tower Road while direct access will be provided by one full movement access along the south side of $32^{\text {nd }}$ Parkway. The project access along $32^{\text {nd }}$ Parkway is proposed to be located approximately 500 feet east of Tower Road at the existing median opening.
$32^{\text {nd }}$ Parkway primarily extends east-west with two through lane in each direction with a raised median and a posted speed limit of 40 miles per hour. The access along the south side of $32^{\text {nd }}$ Parkway is not currently constructed.

Peak hour counts were performed to the west of the proposed project access at the intersection of $32^{\text {nd }}$ Parkway and Tower Road on Thursday, April 5, 2018. The weekday counts were conducted in 15-minute intervals during the morning and afternoon peak hours of adjacent street traffic from 7:00 AM to 9:00 AM and 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM. These counts were used to calculate the eastbound and westbound through movements along $32^{\text {nd }}$ Parkway at the proposed project access. The traffic volume along $32^{\text {nd }}$ Parkway was found to be 416 vehicles per hour (vph) eastbound and 280 vph westbound during the morning peak hour. During the afternoon peak hour, the traffic volume along $32^{\text {nd }}$ Parkway was observed to be 250 vph eastbound and 420 vph westbound. Count sheets are attached.

## Unspecified Development Traffic Growth

The 2020 background traffic volumes from the original Majestic Tower Retail project traffic study were used as a basis for this study. As presented in the original traffic study, project traffic volumes from Majestic Commercenter Phase 9, Majestic Commercenter Phase 10, Gateway Buildings 22/23, Salida Flex, and Gateway V were all included in background traffic volumes. Based on the standard growth rate used by the City of Aurora, an annual growth rate of two (2) percent per year was used to calculate 2021 background traffic volumes from the previously identified 2020 background traffic volumes for the eastbound and westbound through movements along $32^{\text {nd }}$ Parkway at the project access.

## Trip Generation

Site-generated traffic estimates are determined through a process known as trip generation. Rates and equations are applied to the proposed land use to estimate traffic generated by the development during a specific time interval. The acknowledged source for trip generation rates is the Trip Generation Report ${ }^{1}$ published by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE). ITE has established trip rates in nationwide studies of similar land uses.

The original traffic impact study included two 125-room hotels (250 rooms total), 136,000 square feet of retail space ( 90,000 square feet on the north side of $32^{\text {nd }}$ Parkway), 23,000 square feet of restaurants (in three separate restaurants with one being an approximate 9,500 square foot Cracker Barrel), and a 12 -fueling position gas station. For the original traffic study, trip generation average rates were based on the ITE Trip Generation, 10th Edition, for Hotel (ITE Code 310), Shopping Center (ITE Code 820), High Turnover Sit-Down Restaurant (ITE Code 932), and Gasoline Station with Convenience Market (ITE Code 945).
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## Kimley»Horn

For this proposed project, Kimley-Horn used the average rate equations of the ITE Trip Generation, 10th Edition (most current edition), for Hotel (ITE Code 310), Shopping Center (ITE Code 820), Fast Casual Restaurant (ITE Code 930), High Turnover Sit-Down Restaurant (ITE Code 932), and Fast-Food Restaurant with Drive Through (ITE Code 934). The following Table 1 summarizes the estimated trip generation for the project. Applicable trip generation calculations and report documentation from the original study are attached.

Table 1 - Trip Generation Comparison Majestic Tower Retail vs. MCC Phase 1 Retail

| Land Use and Size | Daily Vehicle Trips | Weekday Vehicle Trips |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AM Peak Hour |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
|  |  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |
| Previous Study |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total External Trips After Internal Capture | 10,226 | 311 | 232 | 543 | 443 | 400 | 843 |
| Current Proposal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hotel (ITE Code 310) - 110 Rooms | 804 | 30 | 19 | 49 | 27 | 26 | 53 |
| Shopping Center (ITE Code 820) - 7,500 SF | 226 | 4 | 3 | 7 | 7 | 10 | 17 |
| Fast Casual Restaurant <br> (ITE Code 930) - 5,500 SF | 1,620 | 7 | 4 | 11 | 39 | 30 | 69 |
| High Turnover Sit-Down Restaurant (ITE Code 932) - 2,500 SF | 264 | 14 | 11 | 25 | 14 | 8 | 21 |
| Fast-Food Restaurant w/ D.T (ITE Code 934) - 3,100 SF | 1,364 | 62 | 60 | 123 | 48 | 41 | 90 |
| Total External Trips After Internal Capture | 4,278 | 117 | 97 | 215 | 135 | 115 | 250 |
| Net Difference in Trips | -5,948 | -194 | -135 | -328 | -308 | -285 | -593 |

As summarized in the table, the currently proposed MCC Retail Phase 1 project is anticipated to generate 4,278 daily weekday external trips after internal capture. Of these, 215 trips are expected to occur during the weekday morning peak hour while 250 trips are expected to occur during the weekday afternoon peak hour. Based on a comparison to the traffic generated from the original traffic study, MCC Retail Phase 1 is anticipated to generate traffic within the volume limits previously studied, with 5,948 less daily trips, 328 less morning peak hour trips, and 593 less afternoon peak hour trips. Phase 1 is anticipated to generate approximately 42 percent of the overall Majestic Tower Retail project trips generated.

## Distribution, Assignment, and Total Traffic

Distribution of site traffic was based on the original traffic study which considered the area street system characteristics, existing traffic patterns and volumes, and the proposed access system for the project. The distribution of traffic is a means to quantify the percentage of site-generated traffic that approaches the site from a given direction and departs the site back to the original source. Project traffic originating from either direction can access the site. Figure 2 illustrates the expected trip distribution for the proposed residential project.

## Kimley»"Horn

Traffic assignment was obtained by applying the project trip distribution to the estimated project traffic generation of the MCC Retail Phase 1 development shown in the trip generation table. The traffic assignment is shown in Figure 3. Site traffic volumes were added to the 2021 background volumes to represent estimated buildout year conditions. The total traffic volumes for 2021 is illustrated in Figure 4, along with the volumes from 2021 the 2040 total buildout volumes are included for reference in Figure 5.

## Traffic Operations Analysis

Kimley-Horn's analysis of traffic operations in the site vicinity was conducted to determine potential capacity deficiencies at the project access intersection for the 2021 buildout. The acknowledged source for determining overall capacity is the Highway Capacity Manual ${ }^{2}$. Capacity analysis results are listed in terms of Level of Service (LOS). LOS is a qualitative term describing operating conditions a driver will experience while traveling on a particular street or highway during a specific time interval. It ranges from A (very little delay) to F (long delays and congestion). For intersections and roadways in this study area, typical traffic study practice identifies LOS D as the minimum threshold for acceptable operations. The following Table 2 shows the definition of level of service for signalized and unsignalized intersections.

Table 2 - Level of Service Definitions

| Level of <br> Service | Signalized Intersection <br> Average Total Delay <br> (sec/veh) | Unsignalized Intersection <br> Average Total Delay <br> (sec/veh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | $\leq 10$ | $\leq 10$ |
| B | $>10$ and $\leq 20$ | $>10$ and $\leq 15$ |
| C | $>20$ and $\leq 35$ | $>15$ and $\leq 25$ |
| D | $>35$ and $\leq 55$ | $>25$ and $\leq 35$ |
| E | $>55$ and $\leq 80$ | $>35$ and $\leq 50$ |
| F | $>80$ | $>50$ |

Definitions provided from the Highway Capacity Manual, Sixth Edition, Transportation Research Board, 2016.

## 32 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ Parkway Access Intersection

With completion of the MCC Retail Phase 1 project, the site proposes one full movement access along the south side of $32^{\text {nd }}$ Parkway. The access along $32^{\text {nd }}$ Parkway is proposed to be located approximately 500 feet east of Tower Road at the existing median opening. This new access should operate with stop control along the northbound exiting approach with installation of a R1-1 "STOP" sign along this approach. Two exiting lanes, one left turn lane and one right turn lane along with a westbound left turn lane and a shared eastbound through/right turn lane entering the project driveway will allow for acceptable operations. With these lane configurations and control, the capacity analysis indicates that acceptable delay and LOS D or better is forecasted for all movements during the morning and afternoon peak hours for the 2021 buildout with Phase 1 of the project as an unsignalized intersection.
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Table 3 provides the results of the level of service analysis for this intersection with LOS worksheets attached.

Table 3-32 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ Parkway Access Intersection LOS Results

|  | AM Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Delay <br> (sec/veh) | LOS | Delay <br> (sec/veh) | LOS |
| Scenario |  |  |  |  |
| 2021 Background Plus Project | 10.8 | B | 8.7 | A |
| Westbound Left | 28.3 | D | 18.9 | C |
| Northbound Approach | 30.1 | D | 19.9 | C |
| Northbound Left | 12.6 | B | 10.2 | B |
| Northbound Right | 10.9 | B | 12.4 | B |
| 2040 Background Plus Project * |  |  |  |  |

[^2]To further identify if signalization of the access intersection is needed for Phase 1 of this development, four-hour and peak hour vehicle volume signal warrant analysis was performed for the intersection of $32^{\text {nd }}$ Parkway Project Access in 2021. Plotting the morning and afternoon peak hour points and the overall peak hour on the graphs illustrate that this intersection is not anticipated to meet the four-hour vehicular volume warrant or the peak hour vehicular volume warrant with two lane roadway approaches while considering only half of the right turn movements along the minor approach. It is important to note that this intersection is very close to warranting signalization with Phase 1 and the currently planned development of the projects within Majestic Commercenter to the east. Therefore, signalization will likely be needed based on development of the next project beyond Phase 1. The signal warrant analyses figures for this intersection is attached as Figures 6 and 7.

## Bicycle and Pedestrian Access

Bicycle and pedestrian access evaluations were conducted for the MCC Retail Phase 1 development project. This focused on the areas of $32^{\text {nd }}$ Parkway and Tower Road adjacent to the site. The following provides a description of the assessment.

Adjacent to the site, $32^{\text {nd }}$ Parkway provides sidewalks along both sides of the street. All along $32^{\text {nd }}$ Parkway, pedestrian access is acceptable with wide separated sidewalks and signalized pedestrian crossings with crosswalks at the signalized intersection of Tower Road. Along Tower Road, sidewalks exist along both sides of the street. All intersections along Tower Road within a quarter mile of the $32^{\text {nd }}$ Parkway intersection provide signalized pedestrian crossings as well. Currently there are no bicycle lanes along $32^{\text {nd }}$ Parkway or Tower Road adjacent to the project.

Transit within the area is provided by RTD. Route 169 along Tower Road is the nearest route to the site. This route runs daily every 60 minutes during all times, year-round. It runs north and south along Airport Boulevard between Arapahoe Road and Colfax Avenue before running north and south along Tower Road between Colfax Avenue and the Airport Boulevard Station. A bus stop exists along northbound and southbound Tower Road, just south of the intersection with $32^{\text {nd }}$ Parkway. Benches exist at these bus stop locations.

## Kimley»Horn

## Conclusions and Recommendations

In summary, this traffic study letter provides project traffic generation estimates to identify conformance with the original traffic study. MCC Retail Phase 1 is anticipated to generate traffic volumes within the original traffic study limits. The proposed access intersection along $32^{\text {nd }}$ Parkway should operate with stop control along the northbound exiting approach with installation of a R1-1 "STOP" sign. Two exiting lane should be provided at the access intersection, one left turn lane and one right turn lane. The existing constructed westbound left turn lane should be designated. Likewise, it was found that a separate eastbound right turn lane wouldn't be needed for acceptable operations. It is understood that applying State of Colorado Department of Transportation (CDOT) warrants for right turn lanes from the State Highway Access Code (SHAC) results in a warrant being met for an eastbound right turn lane at this intersection. However, we don't feel it is appropriate to use the CDOT SHAC to determine warrants for right turn lanes along City of Aurora streets such as this location. The CDOT thresholds are set so low, at 50 vph for NR-B less than 40 mph , which is one right turn vehicle every 1 minute and 12 seconds on average. Using CDOT SHAC as guide for right turn lane thresholds will result in right turn lanes everywhere, which translates to higher through vehicle speeds. This is because CDOT's mission is to maintain speed and flow on their highways. Installation of right turn lanes when not needed ends up increasing through vehicle speeds making it less safe for other roadway users such as bicycles and pedestrians. With 32nd Parkway being four lanes and including numerous street trees along its edge, it is respectfully requested that the City reconsider requiring this right turn lane. The recommended intersection lane configurations and control for the project buildout are illustrated in Figure 8.

If you have any questions or require anything further, please feel free to call me at (303) 228-2304.

Sincerely,
KIMLEY-HORN AND ASSOCIATES, INC.


Curtis D. Rowe, P.E., PTOE Vice President



MCC RETALL PHASE 1
32ND PARKWAY \& PROJECT ACCESS
FIGURE 1 VICINITY MAP


MCC RETAIL PHASE 1
32ND PARKWAY \& PROJECT ACCESS PROJECT TRIP DISTRIBUTION

FIGURE 2




WARRANT 2 - FOUR HOUR VEHICULAR VOLUME


* NOTE: 115 VPH APPLIES AS THE LOWER THRESHOLD VOLUME FOR A MINOR STREET

FOUR HOUR VOLUME WARRANT
2021 TRAFFIC DATA POINT

WARRANT 3 - PEAK HOUR



MCC RETAIL PHASE 1


Aurora, CO
Majestic Commercenter Phase 11
AM Peak
32nd Parkway and Tower Rd

File Name : 32nd Pkwy and Tower Rd AM
Site Code : IPO 329
Start Date : 4/5/2018
Page No : 1

Groups Printed- Automobiles

|  | 32nd Pkwy Westbound |  |  |  | Tower Rd Northbound |  |  |  | Tower Rd Southbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Right | U Turn | App. Total | Thru | Right | U Turn | App. Total | Left | Thru | U Turn | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 07:00 AM | 45 | 20 | 0 | 65 | 215 | 77 | 0 | 292 | 15 | 378 | 1 | 394 | 751 |
| 07:15 AM | 57 | 21 | 1 | 79 | 248 | 72 | 0 | 320 | 11 | 385 | 0 | 396 | 795 |
| 07:30 AM | 58 | 24 | 0 | 82 | 304 | 72 | 1 | 377 | 24 | 375 | 0 | 399 | 858 |
| 07:45 AM | 37 | 25 | 0 | 62 | 307 | 111 | 0 | 418 | 22 | 428 | 0 | 450 | 930 |
| Total | 197 | 90 | 1 | 288 | 1074 | 332 | 1 | 1407 | 72 | 1566 | 1 | 1639 | 3334 |


| 08:00 AM | 45 | 12 | 0 | 57 | 236 | 82 | 0 | 318 | 22 | 367 | 1 | 390 | 765 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 08:15 AM | 38 | 21 | 0 | 59 | 187 | 91 | 1 | 279 | 18 | 291 | 0 | 309 | 647 |
| 08:30 AM | 31 | 28 | 0 | 59 | 209 | 75 | 0 | 284 | 23 | 287 | 2 | 312 | 655 |
| 08:45 AM | 52 | 12 | 1 | 65 | 182 | 71 | 0 | 253 | 31 | 265 | 0 | 296 | 614 |
| Total | 166 | 73 | 1 | 240 | 814 | 319 | 1 | 1134 | 94 | 1210 | 3 | 1307 | 2681 |
| Grand Total | 363 | 163 | 2 | 528 | 1888 | 651 | 2 | 2541 | 166 | 2776 | 4 | 2946 | 6015 |
| Apprch \% | 68.8 | 30.9 | 0.4 |  | 74.3 | 25.6 | 0.1 |  | 5.6 | 94.2 | 0.1 |  |  |
| Total \% | 6 | 2.7 | 0 | 8.8 | 31.4 | 10.8 | 0 | 42.2 | 2.8 | 46.2 | 0.1 | 49 |  |

Aurora, CO
Majestic Commercenter Phase 11
AM Peak
32nd Parkway and Tower Rd

File Name : 32nd Pkwy and Tower Rd AM
Site Code : IPO 329
Start Date : 4/5/2018
Page No : 2


Morrison, CO 80465

Aurora, CO
Majestic Commercenter Phase 11
AM Peak
32nd Parkway and Tower Rd

File Name : 32nd Pkwy and Tower Rd AM
Site Code : IPO 329
Start Date : 4/5/2018
Page No : 3

|  | 32nd Pkwy <br> Westbound |  |  |  | Tower Rd <br> Northbound |  |  |  | Tower Rd Southbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Right | U Turn | App. Total | Thru | Right | U Turn | App. Total | Left | Thru | U Turn | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 07:00 AM to 08:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 07:15 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:15 AM | 57 | 21 | 1 | 79 | 248 | 72 | 0 | 320 | 11 | 385 | 0 | 396 | 795 |
| 07:30 AM | 58 | 24 | 0 | 82 | 304 | 72 | 1 | 377 | 24 | 375 | 0 | 399 | 858 |
| 07:45 AM | 37 | 25 | 0 | 62 | 307 | 111 | 0 | 418 | 22 | 428 | 0 | 450 | 930 |
| 08:00 AM | 45 | 12 | 0 | 57 | 236 | 82 | 0 | 318 | 22 | 367 | 1 | 390 | 765 |
| Total Volume | 197 | 82 | 1 | 280 | 1095 | 337 | 1 | 1433 | 79 | 1555 | 1 | 1635 | 3348 |
| \% App. Total | 70.4 | 29.3 | 0.4 |  | 76.4 | 23.5 | 0.1 |  | 4.8 | 95.1 | 0.1 |  |  |
| PHF | . 849 | . 820 | . 250 | . 854 | . 892 | . 759 | . 250 | . 857 | . 823 | . 908 | . 250 | . 908 | . 900 |



Aurora, CO
Majestic Commercenter Phase 11
PM Peak
32nd Parkway and Tower Rd

File Name : 32nd Pkwy and Tower Rd PM
Site Code : IPO 329
Start Date : 4/5/2018
Page No : 1

Groups Printed- Automobiles

|  | 32nd Pkwy Westbound |  |  |  | Tower Rd Northbound |  |  |  | Tower Rd Southbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Right | U Turn | App. Total | Thru | Right | U Turn | App. Total | Left | Thru | U Turn | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 04:00 PM | 82 | 26 | 0 | 108 | 308 | 58 | 0 | 366 | 15 | 345 | 0 | 360 | 834 |
| 04:15 PM | 62 | 16 | 0 | 78 | 329 | 53 | 0 | 382 | 16 | 375 | 0 | 391 | 851 |
| 04:30 PM | 102 | 28 | 0 | 130 | 294 | 38 | 0 | 332 | 15 | 369 | 0 | 384 | 846 |
| 04:45 PM | 73 | 31 | 0 | 104 | 330 | 43 | 0 | 373 | 12 | 338 | 1 | 351 | 828 |
| Total | 319 | 101 | 0 | 420 | 1261 | 192 | 0 | 1453 | 58 | 1427 | 1 | 1486 | 3359 |


| 05:00 PM | 96 | 38 | 0 | 134 | 301 | 35 | 0 | 336 | 18 | 345 | 0 | 363 | 833 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 05:15 PM | 57 | 21 | 0 | 78 | 336 | 39 | 0 | 375 | 14 | 355 | 1 | 370 | 823 |
| 05:30 PM | 67 | 34 | 0 | 101 | 306 | 47 | 0 | 353 | 21 | 333 | 2 | 356 | 810 |
| 05:45 PM | 62 | 21 | 0 | 83 | 346 | 27 | 0 | 373 | 17 | 348 | 1 | 366 | 822 |
| Total | 282 | 114 | 0 | 396 | 1289 | 148 | 0 | 1437 | 70 | 1381 | 4 | 1455 | 3288 |
| Grand Total | 601 | 215 | 0 | 816 | 2550 | 340 | 0 | 2890 | 128 | 2808 | 5 | 2941 | 6647 |
| Apprch \% | 73.7 | 26.3 | 0 |  | 88.2 | 11.8 | 0 |  | 4.4 | 95.5 | 0.2 |  |  |
| Total \% | 9 | 3.2 | 0 | 12.3 | 38.4 | 5.1 | 0 | 43.5 | 1.9 | 42.2 | 0.1 | 44.2 |  |

Aurora, CO
Majestic Commercenter Phase 11
PM Peak
32nd Parkway and Tower Rd

File Name : 32nd Pkwy and Tower Rd PM
Site Code : IPO 329
Start Date : 4/5/2018
Page No : 2


Morrison, CO 80465

Aurora, CO
Majestic Commercenter Phase 11
PM Peak
32nd Parkway and Tower Rd

File Name : 32nd Pkwy and Tower Rd PM
Site Code : IPO 329
Start Date : 4/5/2018
Page No : 3

|  | 32nd Pkwy <br> Westbound |  |  |  | Tower Rd Northbound |  |  |  | Tower Rd Southbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Right | U Turn | App. Total | Thru | Right | U Turn | App. Total | Left | Thru | U Turn | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 04:00 PM to 05:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 04:00 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:00 PM | 82 | 26 | 0 | 108 | 308 | 58 | 0 | 366 | 15 | 345 | 0 | 360 | 834 |
| 04:15 PM | 62 | 16 | 0 | 78 | 329 | 53 | 0 | 382 | 16 | 375 | 0 | 391 | 851 |
| 04:30 PM | 102 | 28 | 0 | 130 | 294 | 38 | 0 | 332 | 15 | 369 | 0 | 384 | 846 |
| 04:45 PM | 73 | 31 | 0 | 104 | 330 | 43 | 0 | 373 | 12 | 338 | 1 | 351 | 828 |
| Total Volume | 319 | 101 | 0 | 420 | 1261 | 192 | 0 | 1453 | 58 | 1427 | 1 | 1486 | 3359 |
| \% App. Total | 76 | 24 | 0 |  | 86.8 | 13.2 | 0 |  | 3.9 | 96 | 0.1 |  |  |
| PHF | . 782 | . 815 | . 000 | . 808 | . 955 | . 828 | . 000 | . 951 | . 906 | . 951 | . 250 | . 950 | . 987 |


|  | Peak Hour Data $\uparrow_{\text {Noth }}$ <br> Peak Hour Begins at 04:00 PM Automobiles |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |



Notes:
(1)
(1) AM and/or PM rates correspond to peak hour of generator
(2) Land use was removed in Trip Generation, 10 Edition, trip generation data from the ITE Trip Generation, 9th Edition

| NCHRP 684 Internal Trip Capture Estimation Tool |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Project Name: | MCC Retail Phase 1 |  | Organization: | Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. |
| Project Location: | Aurora, CO | Performed By: | TES |  |
| Scenario Description: |  | Date: | $4 / 9 / 2020$ |  |
| Analysis Year: | 2021 | Checked By: |  |  |
| Analysis Period: | AM Street Peak Hour | Date: |  |  |


| Table 1-A: Base Vehicle-Trip Generation Estimates (Single-Use Site Estimate) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land Use | Development Data (For Information Only) |  |  | Estimated Vehicle-Trips ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
|  | ITE LUCs ${ }^{1}$ | Quantity | Units | Total | Entering | Exiting |
| Office |  | - | $1,000 \mathrm{Sq} \mathrm{Ft}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Retail |  | 8 | $1,000 \mathrm{Sq} \mathrm{Ft}$ | 7 | 4 | 3 |
| Restaurant |  | 11 | $1,000 \mathrm{Sq} \mathrm{Ft}$ | 161 | 85 | 76 |
| Cinema/Entertainment |  | - | Screen(s) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Residential |  | - | Dwelling Unit(s) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hotel |  | 110 | Room(s) | 52 | 31 | 21 |
| All Other Land Uses ${ }^{2}$ |  | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | 220 | 120 | 100 |


| Table 2-A: Mode Split and Vehicle Occupancy Estimates |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land Use | Entering Trips |  |  | Exiting Trips |  |  |
|  | Veh. Occ. ${ }^{4}$ | \% Transit | \% Non-Motorized | Veh. Occ. ${ }^{4}$ | \% Transit | \% Non-Motorized |
| Office | 1.00 | 0\% | 0\% | 1.00 | 0\% | 0\% |
| Retail | 1.00 | 0\% | 0\% | 1.00 | 0\% | 0\% |
| Restaurant | 1.00 | 0\% | 0\% | 1.00 | 0\% | 0\% |
| Cinema/Entertainment | 1.00 | 0\% | 0\% | 1.00 | 0\% | 0\% |
| Residential | 1.00 | 0\% | 0\% | 1.00 | 0\% | 0\% |
| Hotel | 1.00 | 0\% | 0\% | 1.00 | 0\% | 0\% |
| All Other Land Uses ${ }^{2}$ | 1.00 | 0\% | 0\% | 1.00 | 0\% | 0\% |


| Table 3-A: Average Land Use Interchange Distances (Feet Walking Distance) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Origin (From) | Destination (To) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Office | Retail | Restaurant | Cinema/Entertainment | Residential | Hotel |
| Office |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Restaurant |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cinema/Entertainment |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hotel |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Table 4-A: Internal Person-Trip Origin-Destination Matrix ${ }^{*}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Origin (From) |  | Destination (To) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Office | Retail | Restaurant | Cinema/Entertainment | Residential | Hotel |  |
| Office |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Retail | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Restaurant | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |
| Cinema/Entertainment | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Residential | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Hotel | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |


| Table 5-A: Computations Summary |  |  |  | Table 6-A: Internal Trip Capture Percentages by Land Use |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Entering | Exiting | Land Use | Entering Trips | Exiting Trips |
| All Person-Trips | 220 | 120 | 100 | Office | N/A | N/A |
| Internal Capture Percentage | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | Retail | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  | Restaurant | 2\% | 1\% |
| External Vehicle-Trips ${ }^{5}$ | 214 | 117 | 97 | Cinema/Entertainment | N/A | N/A |
| External Transit-Trips ${ }^{6}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | Residential | N/A | N/A |
| External Non-Motorized Trips ${ }^{6}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | Hotel | 3\% | 10\% |

[^3]| Project Name: | MCC Retail Phase 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analysis Period: | AM Street Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |
| Table 7-A: Conversion of Vehicle-Trip Ends to Person-Trip Ends |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land Use | Table 7-A (D): Entering Trips |  |  | Table 7-A (0): Exiting Trips |  |  |
|  | Veh. Occ. | Vehicle-Trips | Person-Trips* | Veh. Occ. | Vehicle-Trips | Person-Trips* |
| Office | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 1.00 | 0 | 0 |
| Retail | 1.00 | 4 | 4 | 1.00 | 3 | 3 |
| Restaurant | 1.00 | 85 | 85 | 1.00 | 76 | 76 |
| Cinema/Entertainment | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 1.00 | 0 | 0 |
| Residential | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 1.00 | 0 | 0 |
| Hotel | 1.00 | 31 | 31 | 1.00 | 21 | 21 |


| Table 8-A (O): Internal Person-Trip Origin-Destination Matrix (Computed at Origin) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Origin (From) |  | Destination (To) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Office | Retail | Restaurant | Cinema/Entertainment | Residential | Hotel |  |  |
| Office |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Retail | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Restaurant | 24 | 11 |  | 0 | 3 |  |  |  |
| Cinema/Entertainment | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Residential | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Hotel | 16 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |


| Table 8-A (D): Internal Person-Trip Origin-Destination Matrix (Computed at Destination) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Origin (From) | Destination (To) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Office | Retail | Restaurant | Cinema/Entertainment | Residential | Hotel |
| Office |  | 1 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Retail | 0 |  | 43 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Restaurant | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Cinema/Entertainment | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| Residential | 0 | 1 | 17 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Hotel | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |  |


| Table 9-A (D): Internal and External Trips Summary (Entering Trips) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Destination Land Use | Person-Trip Estimates |  |  | External Trips by Mode* |  |  |
|  | Internal | External | Total | Vehicles ${ }^{1}$ | Transit ${ }^{2}$ | Non-Motorized ${ }^{2}$ |
| Office | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Retail | 0 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| Restaurant | 2 | 83 | 85 | 83 | 0 | 0 |
| Cinema/Entertainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Residential | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hotel | 1 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 0 | 0 |
| All Other Land Uses ${ }^{3}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| Table 9-A (0): Internal and External Trips Summary (Exiting Trips) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Origin Land Use | Person-Trip Estimates |  |  | External Trips by Mode* |  |  |
|  | Internal | External | Total | Vehicles ${ }^{1}$ | Transit ${ }^{2}$ | Non-Motorized ${ }^{2}$ |
| Office | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Retail | 0 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Restaurant | 1 | 75 | 76 | 75 | 0 | 0 |
| Cinema/Entertainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Residential | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hotel | 2 | 19 | 21 | 19 | 0 | 0 |
| All Other Land Uses ${ }^{3}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

[^4]| NCHRP 684 Internal Trip Capture Estimation Tool |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Project Name: | MCC Retail Phase 1 |  | Organization: | Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. |
| Project Location: | Aurora, CO | Performed By: | TES |  |
| Scenario Description: |  | Date: | $4 / 9 / 2020$ |  |
| Analysis Year: | 2021 | Checked By: |  |  |
| Analysis Period: | PM Street Peak Hour | Date: |  |  |


| Table 1-P: Base Vehicle-Trip Generation Estimates (Single-Use Site Estimate) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land Use | Development Data (For Information Only) |  |  | Estimated Vehicle-Trips ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
|  | ITE LUCs ${ }^{1}$ | Quantity | Units | Total | Entering | Exiting |
| Office |  | - | $1,000 \mathrm{Sq} \mathrm{Ft}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Retail |  | 8 | $1,000 \mathrm{Sq} \mathrm{Ft}$ | 29 | 14 | 15 |
| Restaurant |  | 11 | $1,000 \mathrm{Sq} \mathrm{Ft}$ | 203 | 111 | 92 |
| Cinema/Entertainment |  | - | Screen(s) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Residential |  | - | Dwelling Unit(s) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hotel |  | 110 | Room(s) | 66 | 34 | 32 |
| All Other Land Uses ${ }^{2}$ |  | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | 298 | 159 | 139 |


| Table 2-P: Mode Split and Vehicle Occupancy Estimates |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land Use | Entering Trips |  |  | Exiting Trips |  |  |
|  | Veh. Occ. ${ }^{4}$ | \% Transit | \% Non-Motorized | Veh. Occ. ${ }^{4}$ | \% Transit | \% Non-Motorized |
| Office | 1.00 | 0\% | 0\% | 1.00 | 0\% | 0\% |
| Retail | 1.00 | 0\% | 0\% | 1.00 | 0\% | 0\% |
| Restaurant | 1.00 | 0\% | 0\% | 1.00 | 0\% | 0\% |
| Cinema/Entertainment | 1.00 | 0\% | 0\% | 1.00 | 0\% | 0\% |
| Residential | 1.00 | 0\% | 0\% | 1.00 | 0\% | 0\% |
| Hotel | 1.00 | 0\% | 0\% | 1.00 | 0\% | 0\% |
| All Other Land Uses ${ }^{2}$ | 1.00 | 0\% | 0\% | 1.00 | 0\% | 0\% |


| Table 3-P: Average Land Use Interchange Distances (Feet Walking Distance) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Destination (To) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Origin (From) | Office | Retail | Restaurant | Cinema/Entertainment | Residential | Hotel |
| Office |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Restaurant |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cinema/Entertainment |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hotel |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Table 4-P: Internal Person-Trip Origin-Destination Matrix* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Origin (From) |  | Destination (To) |  |  |  |  |  | Residential |  |
|  | Office | Retail | Restaurant | Cinema/Entertainment | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Office |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Retail | 0 |  | 4 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Restaurant | 0 | 7 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Cinema/Entertainment | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Residential | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Hotel | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |


| Table 5-P: Computations Summary |  |  |  | Table 6-P: Internal Trip Capture Percentages by Land Use |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Entering | Exiting | Land Use | Entering Trips | Exiting Trips |
| All Person-Trips | 298 | 159 | 139 | Office | N/A | N/A |
| Internal Capture Percentage | 16\% | 15\% | 17\% | Retail | 50\% | 33\% |
|  |  |  |  | Restaurant | 9\% | 14\% |
| External Vehicle-Trips ${ }^{5}$ | 250 | 135 | 115 | Cinema/Entertainment | N/A | N/A |
| External Transit-Trips ${ }^{6}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | Residential | N/A | N/A |
| External Non-Motorized Trips ${ }^{6}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | Hotel | 21\% | 19\% |

[^5]| Project Name: | MCC Retail Phase 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analysis Period: | PM Street Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |
| Table 7-P: Conversion of Vehicle-Trip Ends to Person-Trip Ends |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land Use | Table 7-P (D): Entering Trips |  |  | Table 7-P (O): Exiting Trips |  |  |
|  | Veh. Occ. | Vehicle-Trips | Person-Trips* | Veh. Occ. | Vehicle-Trips | Person-Trips* |
| Office | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 1.00 | 0 | 0 |
| Retail | 1.00 | 14 | 14 | 1.00 | 15 | 15 |
| Restaurant | 1.00 | 111 | 111 | 1.00 | 92 | 92 |
| Cinema/Entertainment | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 1.00 | 0 | 0 |
| Residential | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 1.00 | 0 | 0 |
| Hotel | 1.00 | 34 | 34 | 1.00 | 32 | 32 |


| Table 8-P (O): Internal Person-Trip Origin-Destination Matrix (Computed at Origin) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Origin (From) |  | Destination (To) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Office | Retail | Restaurant | Cinema/Entertainment | Residential |  |  |  |
| Office |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Hotel |  |  |
| Retail | 0 |  | 4 | 1 | 4 |  |  |  |
| Restaurant | 3 | 38 |  | 7 | 17 |  |  |  |
| Cinema/Entertainment | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Residential | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Hotel | 0 | 5 | 22 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |


| Table 8-P (D): Internal Person-Trip Origin-Destination Matrix (Computed at Destination) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Origin (From) |  | Destination (To) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Office | Retail | Restaurant | Cinema/Entertainment | Residential |  |  |
| Office |  | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Retail | 0 |  | 32 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Restaurant | 0 | 7 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Cinema/Entertainment | 0 | 1 | 3 |  | 0 |  |  |
| Residential | 0 | 1 | 16 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Hotel | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 |  |  |


| Table 9-P (D): Internal and External Trips Summary (Entering Trips) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Destination Land Use | Person-Trip Estimates |  |  | External Trips by Mode* |  |  |
|  | Internal | External | Total | Vehicles ${ }^{1}$ | Transit ${ }^{2}$ | Non-Motorized ${ }^{2}$ |
| Office | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Retail | 7 | 7 | 14 | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| Restaurant | 10 | 101 | 111 | 101 | 0 | 0 |
| Cinema/Entertainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Residential | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hotel | 7 | 27 | 34 | 27 | 0 | 0 |
| All Other Land Uses ${ }^{3}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| Table 9-P (O): Internal and External Trips Summary (Exiting Trips) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Origin Land Use | Person-Trip Estimates |  |  | External Trips by Mode* |  |  |
|  | Internal | External | Total | Vehicles ${ }^{1}$ | Transit ${ }^{2}$ | Non-Motorized ${ }^{2}$ |
| Office | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Retail | 5 | 10 | 15 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| Restaurant | 13 | 79 | 92 | 79 | 0 | 0 |
| Cinema/Entertainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Residential | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hotel | 6 | 26 | 32 | 26 | 0 | 0 |
| All Other Land Uses ${ }^{3}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

'Vehicle-trips computed using the mode split and vehicle occupancy values provided in Table 2-P

[^6]
## Kimley»)Horn

Project MCC Retail Phase 1
Subject Trip Generation for Hotel


## TRIP GENERATION MANUAL TECHNIQUES

ITE Trip Generation Manual 10th Edition, Average Rate Equations
Land Use Code -Hotel (310)
Independant Variable - Rooms (X)
$X=110$
T = Average Vehicle Trip Ends

## Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 7 and 9 a.m. (Series 300 Page 3)

$(T)=0.47(X)$
$(\mathrm{T})=0.47$ *

Directional Distribution: $59 \%$ ent. $41 \%$ exit.
T = $52 \quad$ Average Vehicle Trip Ends
31 entering 21 exiting
$31+21=52$

## Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m. (Series 300 Page 4)

Directional Distribution: $51 \%$ ent. 49\% exit.
$\mathrm{T}=0.60 \mathrm{X} \quad \mathrm{T}=66 \quad$ Average Vehicle Trip Ends
$T=0.60$ * 110
34 entering 32 exiting
$34+32=66$
Weekday (Series 300 Page 2)
Average Weekday
$(\mathrm{T})=8.36(\mathrm{X})$
$(T)=8.36$ *
(110.0)

Directional Distribution: 50\% entering, 50\% exiting
$\mathrm{T}=920 \quad$ Average Vehicle Trip Ends
460 entering 460 exiting
$460+460=920$
Saturday (300 Series Page 7)
$\mathrm{T}=8.19 \mathrm{X}$
T=8.19 * 110

| Directional Distribution: | $50 \%$ | ent. | $50 \%$ | exit. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{T}=$ | 902 | Average Vehicle Trip Ends |  |  |
| 451 | entering | 451 | exiting |  |

## Saturday Peak Hour of Generator (300 Series Page 8)

Average Weekday
$(T)=0.72(X)$
$(T)=0.72{ }^{*}$
(110.0)

T = $80 \quad$ Average Vehicle Trip Ends 45 entering 35 exiting

## Kimley»Horn

Project MCC Retail Phase 1
Subject Trip Generation for Shopping Center (Verizon, Insurance Office, and Boutique)

| Designed by TES | Date | April 09, 2020 | Job No. 096388006 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Checked by | Date |  | Sheet No._of |

## TRIP GENERATION MANUAL TECHNIQUES

ITE Trip Generation Manual 10th Edition, Average Rate Equations
Land Use Code - Shopping Center (820)
Independant Variable - 1000 Square Feet Gross Leasable Area (X)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Gross Leasable Area }=\quad 7,500 \text { Square Feet } \\
& X=7.500 \\
& \mathrm{~T}=\text { Average Vehicle Trip Ends }
\end{aligned}
$$

Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 7 and 9 a.m. (800 Series Page 139)


Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m. ( 800 Series page 140)
Average Weekday Directional Distribution: $48 \%$ ent. $52 \%$ exit.
$\mathrm{T}=3.81$ * $(\mathrm{X})$
T=3.81* $\quad 7.5$


Weekday (800 Series page 138)

Average Weekday
$\mathrm{T}=37.75^{*}$ (X)
$\mathrm{T}=37.75^{*} \quad 7.5$

Directional Distribution: 50\% entering, 50\% exiting
T = $284 \quad$ Average Vehicle Trip Ends
142 entering 142 exiting
$142+142=284$

Non Pass-By Trip Volumes (Per ITE Trip Generation Handbook, 3rd Edition September 2017-Page 190)

| AM Peak Hour $=$ | IN | On | Non-Pass By |  | PM Peak Hour $=$ | $66 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ont | Total |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM Peak | 3 | 2 | 5 |  |  |  |
| PM Peak | 9 | 10 | 20 |  |  |  |
| Daily | 94 | 94 | 188 | PM Peak Hour Rate Applied to Daily |  |  |

Pass-By Trip Volumes (Per ITE Trip Generation Handbook, 3rd Edition September 2017 -Page 190)

| AM Peak Hour $=$ | IN | Out | Pass By | PM Peak Hour $=$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pass By |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM Peak | 1 | 1 | 3 |  |  |  |
| PM Peak | 5 | 5 | 10 |  |  |  |
| Daily | 48 | 48 | 96 | PM Peak Hour Rate Applied to Daily |  |  |

## Kimley»)Horn

Project $\qquad$
Subject
Trip Generation for Fast Casual Restaurant Chipotle
Designed by $\qquad$
Date April 09, 2020

Date $\qquad$
Job No. 96388006
Sheet No.

## TRIP GENERATION MANUAL TECHNIQUES

ITE Trip Generation Manual 10th Edition, Average Rate Equations
Land Use Code - Fast Casual Restaurant (930)
Independant Variable - 1000 Square Feet Gross Floor Area (X)
Gross Floor Area $=\quad 2,500$ Square Feet
$X=2.500$
T = Average Vehicle Trip Ends

## Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 7 and 9 a.m. (900 Series Page 62)



Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m. ( 900 Series Page 63)
Average Weekday Directional Distribution: $55 \%$ ent. $45 \%$ exit.
$\begin{array}{ll}\mathrm{T}=14.13(\mathrm{X}) & \\ \mathrm{T}=14.13^{\text {* }} & 2.500\end{array}$
T = $35 \quad$ Average Vehicle Trip Ends 19 entering 16 exiting $19+16=35$

## Weekday (900 Series Page 61)

Average Weekday
Directional Distribution: 50\% entering, 50\% exiting
$\mathrm{T}=315.17$ (X)
$\mathrm{T}=315.17$ *
2.500

| T | 788 | Average Vehicle Trip Ends |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 394 | entering | $394 \quad$ exiting |

$394+394=788$

## Saturday Peak Hour of Generator (900 Series Page 67)



## Kimley»)Horn

Project $\qquad$
Subject
Trip Generation for Fast Casual Restaurant Wahoo's Fish Tacos
Designed by $\qquad$ Job No. 96388006
Checked by $\qquad$ Date Sheet No. $\qquad$ of $\qquad$

## TRIP GENERATION MANUAL TECHNIQUES

ITE Trip Generation Manual 10th Edition, Average Rate Equations
Land Use Code - Fast Casual Restaurant (930)
Independant Variable - 1000 Square Feet Gross Floor Area (X)
Gross Floor Area $=\quad 3,000$ Square Feet
$X=3.000$
T = Average Vehicle Trip Ends

## Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 7 and 9 a.m. (900 Series Page 62)



Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m. (900 Series Page 63)
Average Weekday Directional Distribution: 55\% ent. 45\% exit.
$\begin{array}{ll}\mathrm{T}=14.13(X) & \\ \mathrm{T}=14.13^{*} & 3.000\end{array}$
$\mathrm{T}=42 \quad$ Average Vehicle Trip Ends 23 entering 19 exiting $23+19=42$

## Weekday (900 Series Page 61)

Average Weekday
Directional Distribution: 50\% entering, 50\% exiting
$\mathrm{T}=315.17$ (X)
$\mathrm{T}=315.17$ *
3.000
$\mathrm{T}=946 \quad$ Average Vehicle Trip Ends 473 entering 473 exiting $473+473=946$

## Saturday Peak Hour of Generator (900 Series Page 67)

$\begin{array}{ll}\mathrm{T}=34.02(\mathrm{X}) & \\ \mathrm{T}=34.02 \text { * } & 3.000\end{array}$
Directional Distribution: 55\% ent. 45\% exit.
$\mathrm{T}=102 \quad$ Average Vehicle Trip Ends 56 entering 46 exiting $56+46=102$

## Kimley»"Horn

Project MCC Retail Phase 1
Subject $\qquad$

| Designed by | TES | Date | April 09, 2020 | Job No. | 096388006 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Checked by |  | Date |  | Sheet No. | of |

TRIP GENERATION MANUAL TECHNIQUES
ITE Trip Generation Manual 10th Edition, Average Rate Equations
Land Use Code - High Turnover Sit-Down Restaurant (932)
Independant Variable - 1000 Square Feet Gross Floor Area (X)
Gross Floor Area $=\quad 2,500$ Square Feet
$X=2.500$
T = Average Vehicle Trip Ends
Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 7 and 9 a.m. ( 900 Series Page 97)

| Average Weekday | Directional Distributid | tion: | 55\% ent. 45\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{T}=9.94$ (X) | $\mathrm{T}=25$ | Average Ve | ehicle Trip Ends |
| $\mathrm{T}=9.94$ * 2.500 | 14 entering | 11 | exiting |

Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m. ( 900 Series Page 98)
Average Weekday Directional Distribution: 62\% ent. 38\% exit.
$\mathrm{T}=9.77$ (X)
$\mathrm{T}=9.77^{*} \quad 2.500$
$\mathrm{T}=24 \quad$ Average Vehicle Trip Ends
15 entering 9 exiting

## Weekday (900 Series Page 96)

Average Weekday
Directional Distribution: 50\% entering, 50\% exiting
$\mathrm{T}=112.18$ (X)
$\mathrm{T}=112.18$ * 2.500

| $\mathrm{T}=$ | 282 | Average Vehicle Trip Ends |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 141 | entering | 141 |
| exiting |  |  |

P.M. Peak Hour of Generator (900 Series Page 100)
Average Weekday Directional Distribution: $52 \%$ ent. $48 \%$ exit.

| $\mathrm{T}=17.41(\mathrm{X})$ | 2.500 | $\mathrm{~T}=$ | 44 | Average Vehicle Trip Ends |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{T}=17.41^{*}$ | 23 | entering | 21 | exiting |

Saturday Peak Hour of Generator 1900 Series Page 105

| Average Saturday | Directional Distribution: | $51 \%$ | ent. | $49 \%$ | exit. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{T}=11.19(\mathrm{X})$ | 2.500 | $\mathrm{~T}=$ | 28 | Average Vehicle Trip Ends |  |
| $\mathrm{T}=11.19^{*}$ |  | 14 | entering | 14 | exiting |

Non Pass-By Trip Volumes (Per ITE Trip Generation Handbook, 3rd Edition September 2017-Page 207)

| AM Peak Hour $=$ | IN | N | Non-Pass By |  | PM Peak Hour $=$ | $57 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Out | Total |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM Peak | 8 | 6 | 14 |  |  |  |
| PM Peak | 9 | 5 | 14 |  |  |  |
| Daily | 80 | 80 | 160 | PM Peak Hour Rate Applied to Daily |  |  |


| AM Peak Hour = |  | 43\% Pass By |  | PM Peak Hour = | 43\% | Pass By |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | IN | Out | Total |  |  |  |
| AM Peak | 6 | 5 | 11 |  |  |  |
| PM Peak | 7 | 4 | 11 |  |  |  |
| Daily | 61 | 61 | 122 | PM Peak Hour R | Appl | do Daily |

```
Project MCC Retail Phase 1
Subject Trip Generation for Fast-Food Restaurant with Drive-Through Window Freddys
Designed by TES Date April 09, 2020 
Checked by
```

$\qquad$

## TRIP GENERATION MANUAL TECHNIQUES

```
ITE Trip Generation Manual 10th Edition, Average Rate Equations
Land Use Code - Fast Food Restaurant With Drive-Through Window (934)
Independant Variable - 1000 Square Feet Gross Floor Area (X)
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Gross Floor Area }=\quad 3,100 \text { Square Feet } \\
& X=3.100 \\
& T=\text { Average Vehicle Trip Ends }
\end{aligned}
\]
```

$\square$ of $\qquad$

## Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 7 and 9 a.m. (900 Series page 158)



## Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m. (900 Series page 159)

| Average Weekday |  | Directional Distribution: | $52 \%$ | ent. | $48 \%$ | exit. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{T}=32.67(\mathrm{X})$ | 3.100 | $\mathrm{~T}=$ | 101 | Average Vehicle Trip Ends |  |  |
| $\mathrm{T}=32.67^{*}$ |  | 53 | entering | 48 | exiting |  |

## Weekday (900 Series page 157)



## Saturday Peak Hour of Generator (900 Series page 163)



Non Pass-By Trip Volumes (Per ITE Trip Generation Handbook, 3rd Edition September 2017)

| AM Peak Hour $=$ | $51 \%$ | Non-Pass By | PM Peak Hour $=$ | $50 \%$ | Non-Pass By |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | IN | Out | Total |  |  |

Pass-By Trip Volumes (Per ITE Trip Generation Handbook, 3rd Edition September 2017)

| AM Peak Hour $=$ | $49 \%$ |  |  | Pass | By | PM Peak Hour $=$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | IN | Out | Total |  |  |  |
| AM Peak | 31 | 30 | 61 |  |  |  |
| PM Peak | 27 | 24 | 51 |  |  |  |
| Daily | 365 | 365 | 730 | PM Peak Hour Rate Applied to Daily |  |  |








[^0]:    1 Institute of Transportation Engineers, Trip Generation: An Information Report, Tenth Edition, Washington DC, 2017.

[^1]:    2 Transportation Research Board, Highway Capacity Manual, Sixth Edition, Washington DC, 2016.

[^2]:    * Results from overall Majestic Tower Retail master study, a signal is expected to be needed by 2040 as full development occurs

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ Land Use Codes (LUCs) from Trip Generation Manual, published by the Institute of Transportation Engineers.
    ${ }^{2}$ Total estimate for all other land uses at mixed-use development site is not subject to internal trip capture computations in this estimator.
    ${ }^{3}$ Enter trips assuming no transit or non-motorized trips (as assumed in ITE Trip Generation Manual).
    ${ }^{4}$ Enter vehicle occupancy assumed in Table 1-A vehicle trips. If vehicle occupancy changes for proposed mixed-use project, manual adjustments must be made
    to Tables 5-A, 9-A (O and D). Enter transit, non-motorized percentages that will result with proposed mixed-use project complete.
    ${ }^{5}$ Vehicle-trips computed using the mode split and vehicle occupancy values provided in Table 2-A.
    ${ }^{6}$ Person-Trips
    *Indicates computation that has been rounded to the nearest whole number.
    Estimation Tool Developed by the Texas A\&M Transportation Institute - Version 2013.1

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ Vehicle-trips computed using the mode split and vehicle occupancy values provided in Table 2-A
    ${ }^{2}$ Person-Trips
    ${ }^{3}$ Total estimate for all other land uses at mixed-use development site is not subject to internal trip capture computations in this estimator
    ${ }^{*}$ Indicates computation that has been rounded to the nearest whole number.

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ Land Use Codes (LUCs) from Trip Generation Manual, published by the Institute of Transportation Engineers.
    ${ }^{2}$ Total estimate for all other land uses at mixed-use development site is not subject to internal trip capture computations in this estimator.
    ${ }^{3}$ Enter trips assuming no transit or non-motorized trips (as assumed in ITE Trip Generation Manual).
    ${ }^{4}$ Enter vehicle occupancy assumed in Table 1-P vehicle trips. If vehicle occupancy changes for proposed mixed-use project, manual adjustments must be made
    ${ }^{5}$ Vehicle-trips computed using the mode split and vehicle occupancy values provided in Table 2-P.
    ${ }^{6}$ Person-Trips
    *Indicates computation that has been rounded to the nearest whole number.
    Estimation Tool Developed by the Texas A\&M Transportation Institute - Version 2013.1

[^6]:    ${ }^{2}$ Person-Trips
    ${ }^{3}$ Total estimate for all other land uses at mixed-use development site is not subject to internal trip capture computations in this estimator
    *Indicates computation that has been rounded to the nearest whole number.

